

K8RDKDONH

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

19 CR 561 (LAP)

5 STEVEN DONZIGER,

6 Defendant.

7 -----x
8 CHEVRON CORPORATION,

9 v.

11 CV 691 (LAK)

10 STEVEN DONZIGER, et al.

11 Defendants.

12 -----x
13 New York, N.Y.
14 August 27, 2019
11:30 a.m.

15 Before:

HON. LORETTA A. PRESKA,

District Judge

16 APPEARANCES

17 SEWARD & KISSEL LLP

Attorneys for The United States of America

18 BY: RITA M. GLAVIN

BRIAN P. MALONEY

19 SAREEN K. ARMANI

20 FRIEDMAN RUBIN

Attorneys for Defendant

21 BY: RICHARD H. FRIEDMAN

MARTIN GARBUS

22 -and-

LITTLEPAGE BOOTH LECKMAN

23 BY: ZOE LITTLEPAGE

-and-

24 CIVIL LIBERTIES DEFENSE CENTER

25 BY: LAUREN REGAN

-and-

NATALI J.H. TODD, CJA Counsel

K8RDKDONH

1 (Case called)

2 THE COURT: United States against Steven Donziger.

3 Is the government ready?

4 MS. GLAVIN: Yes, your Honor. Rita Glavin, Brian
5 Maloney, and Sareen Armani.

6 THE COURT: Good morning.

7 And is the defense ready?

8 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, your Honor. Rick Friedman, for
9 Mr. Donziger, along with Ms. Littlepage, and Ms. Regan, and
10 Marty Garbus is on the telephone.

11 THE COURT: Good morning.

12 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Donziger.

14 Picking up where we left off earlier in the week:
15 Have you had time to consult with a conflict-free lawyer, sir?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

17 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor --

18 THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry.

19 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt.
20 Before we begin, could I make a comment for the record?

21 THE COURT: Sure.

22 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

23 As you know from our briefing, we have objections to
24 the structure of these proceedings. And I know you disagree,
25 and I'm not intending to argue with you now about that, but I

K8RDKDONH

1 do have some things we need to put on the record.

2 THE COURT: Is there anything you're putting on the
3 record that you haven't put in your papers?

4 MR. FRIEDMAN: Yes, there is, your Honor.

5 Our concern now is that, as a result of what we
6 consider irregularities in the proceedings, Mr. Donziger has
7 now been put in a position where he's required to choose
8 between a conflicted lawyer -- that would be me and
9 Ms. Littlepage -- or if, as the Court seems to be signaling,
10 the Court is going to appoint another lawyer for him, that
11 lawyer, of necessity, is going to be unprepared.

12 THE COURT: Well, that's not the only option. As you
13 well know from the letter of the special prosecutors and the
14 questions that were proffered by the special prosecutors,
15 Mr. Donziger can waive whatever conflict the Court might find
16 to be present. That's the whole idea of this proceeding.

17 MR. FRIEDMAN: I understand that, your Honor. The
18 problem is, he's waiving a conflict, so he's either going to
19 accept a conflicted lawyer or he's going to be stuck with a
20 lawyer who cannot possibly get prepared in time.

21 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Donziger was the protagonist in
22 all of these proceedings. Mr. Donziger knows what involvement
23 the lawyers had in the relevant conduct, that is, the 2014
24 correspondence and the postjudgment civil proceedings. As a
25 lawyer, he understands conflicts. As a lawyer, he understands

K8RDKDONH

1 waiver.

2 So, this is not new to him. He understands it. He
3 was present at all of the factual events. The fact that it has
4 come up now is of no moment.

5 MR. FRIEDMAN: All I wanted to put on the record at
6 this point, your Honor, was that the government has produced to
7 the defense 7,125 documents, consisting of 124,000 pages of
8 material, and 169 videos. And my point is simply to get that
9 information to the Court, so that you understand the magnitude
10 of what we're dealing with. That's all I wanted to say at this
11 point.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 Yes, ma'am?

14 MS. GLAVIN: To the extent the Court deems it
15 necessary or helpful, I am willing to talk a little bit about
16 the volume of what's been produced to the defense to make a
17 record.

18 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

19 MS. GLAVIN: With respect to what has been produced to
20 the defense, almost all of it, Mr. Donziger has. So the videos
21 that were produced to the defense were videos from depositions
22 in the postjudgment discovery proceeding. So there's nothing
23 new there. Mr. Donziger should be intimately familiar with
24 that because he was participating in most of those depositions.

25 The other forms of discovery, the big bulk of it, is

K8RDKDONH

1 going to be documents from the docket in the underlying civil
2 case, as well as correspondence, a lot of which Mr. Donziger
3 was on.

4 So, while the discovery may appear to be voluminous,
5 that is entirely a function of a voluminous court record of
6 which Mr. Donziger was a party and received.

7 THE COURT: Thank you.

8 MR. FRIEDMAN: And, your Honor, if I may, we're not
9 criticizing the prosecution for producing that volume of
10 material. Our point is simply it's not realistic to expect a
11 new lawyer is going to be able to come in and become familiar
12 with that material in time for the September 9th trial.

13 That's my point at this point.

14 MS. GLAVIN: Just to add one point on that, while that
15 volume has been produced, it is a very small portion of it that
16 we think becomes directly relevant to the six counts of
17 criminal contempt.

18 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

19 Mr. Donziger, I think you just told me that you have
20 had the opportunity to consult with conflict-free counsel; is
21 that right, sir?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: And who was that, please, sir?

24 THE DEFENDANT: It was Ms. Todd as well as two or
25 three other people. Do I have to give you their names?

K8RDKDONH

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 THE DEFENDANT: So -- well, this is the thing: I
3 have, like, a bunch of people, like, some are professors and
4 stuff who advise me, but I don't know if they're going to want
5 to be seen as formally counsel to me on this issue.

6 THE COURT: I didn't ask you if they were counsel. I
7 said, did you consult with them about the matter of the
8 conflict?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 Who are they, please, sir?

12 THE DEFENDANT: One is Professor Charles Nesson from
13 Harvard Law. I talked to another lawyer named Cascione, which
14 I don't have his first name, but he's a litigator in this area
15 who used to be a district attorney who's dealt with this. And
16 I talked to Ms. Todd.

17 THE COURT: Very well.

18 Do you need any more time to talk with these lawyers?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it depends on how this plays
20 out, but right now, no, I don't.

21 THE COURT: All right, sir.

22 Is there anything about what the Court said to you on
23 the last occasion that was unclear?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No. I think I understand the issue
25 very clearly.

K8RDKDONH

1 THE COURT: All right, sir.

2 So, my question to you is: Do you still wish to
3 proceed with Mr. Richard Friedman as your attorney in this
4 case?

5 THE DEFENDANT: So, I would like to answer that by
6 reading something I've written. Would the Court allow me to?
7 I want to say that what I want to read is sort of a desire by
8 me to lay a record and then ultimately answer your question.
9 Normally, I would have put this in writing before today, but
10 this was kind of an agonizing decision for me because of my
11 history with Mr. Friedman. So, if you would give me
12 permission, can I just read my answer?

13 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Do you mind if I step over to the
15 podium?

16 THE COURT: Yes, sir, go right ahead.

17 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

18 Your Honor, this is my position -- and this might take
19 five minutes; if you have questions in the middle of it, feel
20 free to interrupt me.

21 So, due to the prosecutors raising this conflict issue
22 last Friday, which is very late in the game in terms of the
23 trial date, combined with the Court's --

24 THE COURT: I believe the letter was August 11. Am I
25 wrong on that?

K8RDKDONH

1 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I did not understand the
2 scope -- just to be clear, the scope of what was going on here
3 until the letter of, I think, August 21st.

4 THE COURT: All right. But there was an earlier
5 letter.

6 THE DEFENDANT: There was talk of a Curcio hearing.

7 THE COURT: There was a letter with respect to a
8 Curcio hearing. Am I wrong it was August 11th?

9 MS. GLAVIN: Yes, your Honor. The first letter was
10 August 11th, but this was raised with Mr. Friedman back in May.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 THE DEFENDANT: But, to be clear --

13 MR. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me, Steven.

14 When this was raised -- just so the record is complete
15 and clear, when this was raised originally by Ms. Glavin --
16 and, actually, she raised it, I think, at least twice -- she
17 said she was thinking that there might be a Curcio problem, but
18 she hadn't decided whether there was or not until shortly
19 before she sent that letter.

20 THE COURT: Okay. But the point is, this is not new
21 news.

22 Go ahead, sir.

23 MR. FRIEDMAN: In roughly August -- I'm sorry.

24 THE DEFENDANT: In any event, there's a lot going on
25 in this case. In my life, I've got a lot of litigation around

K8RDKDONH

1 this case. When Mr. Friedman mentioned that to me, I had never
2 heard of what a Curcio hearing was. I've never done a federal
3 criminal case. And he indicated to me -- well, I'm not going
4 to go there, but until I received the letter last Friday and
5 sort of reflected on it over last weekend, which is why I came
6 in Monday and said I needed a couple of days, I didn't
7 understand the issue in a clear way, and I didn't understand,
8 really, the scope of the conflict and how it could affect the
9 trial. I hadn't thought about it, I hadn't gotten advice about
10 it. And even though Ms. Glavin had initially raised the issue,
11 I think back in May with Mr. Friedman, it wasn't until
12 August 21st that she submitted a letter with questions that
13 made it clear that this issue was being brought to the Court.

14 So, to me, it felt like -- and I believe it was very
15 late in the game, given the trial date of September 9th.
16 That's just my position.

17 I have been placed, because of that situation, where I
18 believe I have to give up one or more core constitutional
19 rights and really choose between two unattractive options, both
20 of which will harm my interests and both of which, I believe,
21 would violate my right to a fair trial. And I want to explain
22 that to create the record, if I may.

23 As the Court explained to me earlier in the week, I
24 have the right to a conflict-free lawyer, but all of my lawyers
25 have a conflict of some sort or another that is serious.

K8RDKDONH

1 It's not just the issue of the involvement or any
2 potential involvement in advice regarding facts underlying one
3 of the six counts. All of them, as has been made clear to you,
4 have a conflict in having to travel from far away locales to
5 New York to attend the trial personally when their doctors, or
6 at least some of their doctors, have counseled them not to take
7 risk for themselves or their family members. It bothers me
8 greatly because these are people -- it would bother me for any
9 lawyer, but these are people who are working pro bono for me,
10 and in the case of Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage, they have
11 been helping me for seven years pro bono. They did have a fee
12 arrangement, but that's been extinguished, and they continue to
13 help me.

14 Each of my lawyers has a strong and legitimate
15 interest, health interest, in not taking the risk of attending
16 my trial in person. They have an interest in not interacting
17 with me or my witnesses in person due to the risks of the
18 virus. They have an interest in keeping the trial short for
19 that reason. They have an interest in attending through the
20 computer, virtually, or not at all. And all --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Donziger, we're here today to talk
22 about conflicts. We're not here to talk about travel or
23 anything else.

24 THE DEFENDANT: Well, my only point is, I believe
25 there are two conflicts that I'm having to grapple with, and

K8RDKDONH

1 this is one of them, there's the health issue. And I will move
2 on now, your Honor, but my point is I want my lawyers focused
3 on defending me, not on worrying about their health. And, to
4 me, that's a conflict. I think they believe it's a conflict.

5 Now, when I got the letter last Friday -- I'm moving
6 on to, I think, the more -- the conflict the Court wants to
7 hear about -- as I said, I was unaware of the scope, the
8 meaning of this conflict raised by the prosecution, until
9 reading Ms. Glavin's letter of August 21st. It puts me in an
10 agonizing position in the sense, while I have a right to a
11 conflict-free lawyer, I also have a right to counsel that is
12 properly prepared for trial. This isn't just any misdemeanor
13 trial; I think we can probably all agree on that. It has six
14 counts. My life, or what's left of it, is at stake. I've been
15 extremely prejudiced by, as you know, disbarment and
16 reputational harm, financial harm, that all emanates from the
17 RICO judgment. And what happens before your Honor in this case
18 is extremely important to me and my life, my future, and my
19 family, which includes a wife and a 14-year-old son.

20 I believe that Ms. Glavin is essentially asking for me
21 to choose between these two rights, having an unconflicted --
22 having a conflicted lawyer or having an unprepared lawyer. If
23 I do waive this conflict, I don't believe -- with regard to
24 Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage, I don't believe the other
25 lawyers on this team, and I think they're all really good

K8RDKDONH

1 lawyers -- obviously Mr. Garbus has been around a long time and
2 Ms. Regan has a lot of experience -- but their role in the
3 four-person team was not to prepare for the main defenses.
4 That was Mr. Friedman's role primarily, assisted by
5 Ms. Littlepage.

6 The work of Ms. Regan and Mr. Garbus addresses
7 important issues, and I have confidence in them. I just don't
8 think they can get up to speed, when the underlying case has
9 3,000 docket entries, by September 9th if Mr. Friedman and
10 Ms. Littlepage would be removed, and then you ask Ms. Regan
11 and/or Mr. Garbus to step up.

12 Just a little bit more, your Honor. I'm almost done.

13 I have read your Honor's orders this week, suggesting
14 maybe Mr. Frisch could come back.

15 THE COURT: It's more than a suggestion, sir.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I'm sorry?

17 THE COURT: I said it's more than a suggestion.

18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I don't want to characterize it
19 inaccurately. I didn't hear what you said.

20 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

21 THE DEFENDANT: I'd like to address that issue. I
22 don't believe Mr. Frisch could be my lawyer because our
23 relationship has completely broken down. I want to explain
24 what I mean by that.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Donziger, we are here to talk about

K8RDKDONH

1 conflicts. My question to you, sir, is whether you wish to
2 continue with Mr. Friedman as your counsel.

3 THE DEFENDANT: Can I just finish my point, and then
4 I'll --

5 THE COURT: We're talking about conflicts. I don't
6 want to hear about other stuff.

7 THE DEFENDANT: But I'm ultimately going to propose a
8 way to deal with this.

9 THE COURT: Let's hear it.

10 THE DEFENDANT: Which is this: I have another counsel
11 from New York City who's willing to represent me pro bono.
12 He's highly qualified. He won't have the problem of traveling,
13 that is, the risk from the pandemic from having to travel here,
14 and he is willing to do this, but he cannot do it until
15 December 7th. His name is Ron Kuby.

16 THE COURT: We're not doing it. As you understood,
17 and as you've read from the recent orders, when Mr. Frisch
18 asked for leave to withdraw, leave was granted on the condition
19 that it not affect the trial date. If it turns out that
20 another lawyer is not able to try the case on the trial date,
21 then the condition on which he was allowed to withdraw will
22 have failed, and he will be counsel.

23 So, just keep going.

24 THE DEFENDANT: What I'm trying to say is I can't have
25 him as my counsel because we have a conflict. Do you want me

K8RDKDONH

1 to explain that? Can I lay a record on that?

2 THE COURT: Are you going to breach the
3 attorney-client privilege? Are you going to waive the
4 privilege?

5 THE DEFENDANT: No. I think I need to consult with my
6 counsel, but I can represent --

7 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I wonder if we could -- the
8 last time we did this, there was a phone in the jury room. If
9 that's still there, if I could have just a few minutes with
10 Mr. Donziger. I think that might be wise.

11 THE COURT: Sir, do you wish to speak with
12 Mr. Friedman?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

14 THE COURT: All right. You're excused. Go to the
15 jury room.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

17 (Recess)

18 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm going to step away from
19 this screen and microphone.

20 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

21 MR. GARBUS: Excuse me. Am I hooked into the jury
22 room?

23 THE COURT: I don't believe so.

24 MS. LITTLEPAGE: Steven can only call one person.

25 MR. GARBUS: Pardon me?

K8RDKDONH

1 MS. LITTLEPAGE: I believe Steven can only call one
2 person from the jury room.

3 MR. GARBUS: I see. Okay. Thank you.

4 MS. REGAN: I believe we can hear Mr. Maloney on the
5 phone.

6 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

7 MS. REGAN: We can hear Mr. Maloney's phone call over
8 the microphone, I believe.

9 MS. GLAVIN: Thanks for letting us know.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 Off the record.

12 (Discussion off the record)

13 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Donziger, did you have an
14 opportunity to speak on the phone with Mr. Friedman?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right, sir.

17 MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, could I ask that we go
18 in camera just to allow Mr. Donziger to finish what he was
19 saying?

20 THE COURT: Is there any objection?

21 MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 I will excuse everybody else from the courtroom,
24 please, except the A/V people.

25 (Pages 16-25 SEALED by order of the Court)

K8RKDON1

(In open court)

THE COURT: And we're back on the public record, and we'll just await the return of the other lawyers.

Thank you, counsel.

Mr. Donziger has authorized me to say that the issues he raised have to do, first, with his fee dispute with Mr. Frisch, which has been characterized as having gotten personal.

Secondly, Mr. Frisch has another case in front of me and has some concern that this case will influence that case. I have assured Mr. Donziger that that is not the case. In fact, I have a recollection of what the other case is, and it has to do with foreign law issues. So there's no issue there.

The question is: Does anyone wish to be heard on that before we proceed?

THE DEFENDANT: Can I be heard, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

Just on the latter point, the issue is not that your Honor couldn't separate out the two cases; the issue in the conflict is I don't believe Mr. Frisch, in the zealousness of his representation of me, would not be conflicted by the fact that he had this other thing based on the things he was saying. I just want to be clear.

THE COURT: Well, I am simply --

K8RKDON1

1 THE DEFENDANT: It's about his representation.

2 THE COURT: I am assuring you and him that he has no
3 concern on that point. His job is to represent all of his
4 clients zealously.

5 THE DEFENDANT: I don't know -- can I also do
6 something else? Because I don't know if the government wants
7 to be heard.

8 THE COURT: Well, let me just say where I was going to
9 go. I was going to ask you, first of all, if you understand
10 the nature of the conflicts that are potential here, and ask
11 you to explain that to me, and then I'll ask you again if you
12 wish to continue with Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage.

13 Does the government wish to be heard before we
14 proceed?

15 MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 Okay, Mr. Donziger. Tell me what you perceive as the
18 potential conflicts between you, Mr. Friedman, and
19 Ms. Littlepage arising out of the correspondence and
20 proceedings that the government intends to offer into evidence.

21 THE DEFENDANT: So, my understanding is that it
22 relates to two of the six counts regarding allegations about an
23 order by Judge Kaplan that they may have been on certain
24 papers, which raises certain issues about a potential conflict.

25 THE COURT: How do you understand that conflict to

K8RKDON1

1 operate?

2 THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry, to what?

3 THE COURT: To operate.

4 THE DEFENDANT: Well, one way that is somewhat
5 apparent to me is, it's possible that they could -- either or
6 both of them potentially could be a witness in this case with
7 regard to facts adduced on those two counts.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 Let me also say that, in the ordinary course, as you
10 know, I would inquire of Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage about
11 their involvement in the events at issue, specifically the 2014
12 correspondence and the postjudgment civil proceedings at issue
13 in this criminal contempt case. Because they have not answered
14 the questions to allow me to understand their role, if any, I
15 can't make a judgment as to the nature of the conflict. I will
16 say this, that, at best, it is a potential conflict and, thus,
17 is waivable by you.

18 I was also asking you just now to explain to me your
19 understanding of how that conflict could operate. What else
20 can you tell me about that, sir?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Well, when a lawyer has a conflict, it
22 can affect their representation. In other words, lawyers owe
23 an undivided loyalty to their client, and if a lawyer is
24 involved in this way -- and I'm not saying this happened, I
25 want to be clear about this, this is all still somewhat

K8RKDON1

1 hypothetical to me, other than the documents I've seen that
2 Ms. Glavin produced with her letter, the exhibits, but it could
3 affect the quality of their representation and their loyalty.

4 THE COURT: All right.

5 Is there anything further that any lawyer wants me to
6 ask Mr. Donziger on this point?

7 MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

8 MR. FRIEDMAN: No, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 All right, sir. Going back to where we were, do you
11 understand that if I permit you to proceed with Mr. Friedman
12 and Ms. Littlepage, that you will not be permitted to make any
13 argument, on appeal or otherwise, based on the potential
14 conflicts that we have discussed?

15 Do you understand that, sir?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Do you wish to proceed with Mr. Friedman?

18 THE DEFENDANT: So, at this time, I cannot waive.

19 THE COURT: Okay. And the basis for that is what,
20 sir?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it's what I previously -- the
22 issues that I previously identified in answer, I think, to your
23 recent question today.

24 THE COURT: Do you wish to proceed with
25 Ms. Littlepage?

K8RKDON1

1 THE DEFENDANT: At this time, I cannot waive for
2 Ms. Littlepage either.

3 THE COURT: Have you received any inducements,
4 promises, or threats with respect to your choice of counsel in
5 this case?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Well, please don't take this the wrong
7 way, but I feel under enormous amount of stress by the Court,
8 and I question whether I'm in a position to exercise a
9 voluntary waiver, a complete voluntary waiver, or not, given
10 that the trial date is so soon, and Mr. Friedman, in
11 particular, but also Ms. Littlepage, have been spending weeks
12 preparing, and I'm losing them. So I feel extremely
13 vulnerable, and just so you know, since Monday, or really since
14 Friday, it's been a very difficult choice for me, and it's
15 really been an agonizing choice to make.

16 The reason I say "at this time" is because I just
17 don't know what the future holds, if you're going to appoint
18 Mr. Frisch, what happens.

19 But I cannot waive at this time.

20 THE COURT: Does the government wish to comment?

21 MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Very well, then.

23 All right. Mr. Donziger, again, you were a
24 protagonist in this matter. You know all of the facts, you
25 know what, if anything, Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage had to

K8RKDON1

1 do with the 2014 correspondence and the postjudgment civil
2 proceedings at issue. You're a lawyer, so you certainly
3 understand what a conflict is. You also certainly understand
4 what a waiver is.

5 Because you have failed to waive, the Court has no
6 choice other than to disqualify counsel, and I will note that,
7 given all of these facts -- your longstanding relations with
8 Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage, your seeming willingness to go
9 forward with them as counsel, your position as an attorney --
10 it appears to the Court that your purported concern about the
11 conflict is yet another attempt at delay.

12 But I still have no alternative other than to
13 disqualify Mr. Friedman and Ms. Littlepage.

14 Counsel, forgive me for messing your names up.

15 MS. LITTLEPAGE: That's okay.

16 THE COURT: I apologize.

17 Mr. Frisch will try the case. If Ms. Regan is able to
18 assist and Mr. Garbus is able to assist, that's wonderful.

19 Is there anything else?

20 MR. FRIEDMAN: No, your Honor.

21 MR. GARBUS: Your Honor, I will not be able to assist.
22 I have never met Mr. Frisch. I have spoken to him once or
23 twice. If this goes ahead, I have no alternative, I really
24 can't give Mr. Donziger any effective counsel. So the idea
25 that I, from Massachusetts, on this phone number, can assist

K8RKDON1

1 Mr. Frisch is preposterous.

2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Garbus.

3 Is there anything else, counsel?

4 MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

5 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I have one more thing.

6 I have an affidavit from Mr. Kuby saying he would be
7 able to try the case on December 7th. Can I put it in the
8 record, please?

9 THE COURT: Anything you want.

10 Is there anything else, counsel?

11 THE DEFENDANT: How do you put it in the record? Do I
12 give it to your deputy clerk?

13 THE COURT: Your lawyers will file it for you.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

15 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Good morning.

16 MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

17 * * *